

KAZAKSTAN
TO BORAT:
END SHELL

On first seeing a placard about another Amazon distortion of history, this time with Borat in Kazakstan during COVID-19, I thought, What an insult!

Kazakstan has three inland seas, Balkash, Aral and Caspian, all of which face a catastrophe greater for all the species than COVID-19 is for us, and all this man Borat does is make fun of them.

I'm busy with the Caspian and Aral Seas, in a show in Zurich, linked up with a museum in Baku, on the Caspian—now a war zone. So, why is this man making fun of people near a war zone? All of the terrible events in those Seas result from actions during and after World War II: the extensive damming and diversion of rivers normally flowing to inland salt seas. Correcting the damage, especially in the Aral Sea, was described by a UN Secretary General as Job No. 1 for the UN community. But there's nothing about that in the comedy Borat puts on.

As the Amazon "comedy" was aired I became still more alarmed. This man Borat was using a myth of Kazakhs being corrupt and stupid to say that there were also intervening in the election in an allegedly sovereign state, the United States. So, this man Borat was ridiculing the US, or a certain faction inside the US,

and in the respectable media he was saying that he's being "serious" this time, about the election and politics inside the US, so he needs—he thinks—to intervene.

That's what the British Empire does all the time.

They intervene in the internal affairs of the United States so thoroughly that the United States is not a free or sovereign Nation, as declared in 1776, but is a colony.

A graduate of Cambridge and a British citizen, able to vote only inside Britain, nonetheless feels entitled, even obliged, to start out insulting the people of Kazakstan, an ecologically-endangered spinoff from the Soviet Union, and proceed to insulting the people of the United State, especially the people in one faction, but altogether in the entire Nation, because he is assuming that US citizens cannot take care of themselves but must receive British help.

This graduate of Cambridge studied history. Okay. Does he know that 3/4ths of the Americans who died in the War of Independence did so on British prison boats? Does he know that securing access to oil, a British passion, caused the Royal Navy to break its rule

about no Argentine ship going inside a perimeter around the Falklands, and sank the ship anyway, at a big cost in lives? Does he know that people in some countries don't like Royal Dutch Shell, a partly British company, and ban Shell from their territory? Does he know that two of those countries are Kazakstan and Russia? Does he know that fire-bombing of civilians, concentration camps and giving out disease-infected blankets in germ warfare, were all pioneered by the British?

He could also know that when I, a US citizen, was working with other US citizens produced a civil-aatellite survey of the Falklands for NBC News and the BBC program Newsnight, all in an image-processing laboratory in Springfield, Virginia, a suburb of the US capital, a half-dozen British troops marched into that UC image-processing laboratory, pistols waved in the air, on US soil, and SEIZED all the data and video product they could find, declaring that is's now "The Property of Her Majesty's Government," without any compensation or apology. We US citizens were commandeered by Her Majesty's Government, precisely as happened to provoke the War of 1812. Thank you, Britain, for your respect for our independence.

It's time for some history to be made in response to the insults by the man who plays Borat, a "serious" Cambridge graduate. Not only his insults against the Kazakstan people but also his insults against the United State as a republic.

Why does he think he needs to intervene in the internal affairs of the United States? Maybe because a man facilitating this act is the richest man in the world and has an amazing ability to avoid paying US taxes. Maybe because it's fun to let the British lord over the United States. This is already done by the CEO of the New York Times, by the President of ABC News, by the chief editor of Bloomberg Businessweek, and other major powers in the formation of opinion in the United States. They are all British Crown subjects, not US citizens. But they direct major organs of US media under the First Amendment provision for freedom of the press, in a cynical disregard for why the First Amendment is there, to help us citizens decide our future by ourselves. With media control by foreigners like that, the US is not really "independent." It's relying on the British to "guide" it. And the man who plays Borat wants to provide such guidance, too.

What if the Kazakhs and the Russians say, we're not that abusive of the US.

And what if US say that they'd rather work with Kazakhs and Russians than with the British?

I don't have much choice in this option. In 2013, in Europe, an

executive for Royal Dutch Shell told me, in response to my much-researched project for harvesting aquatic and marine plants to make fuel, that "You will not be allowed." In 2019, an apparent agent of Royal Dutch Shell, banished me from showing any scenario for my ideas, in the Northeast Seaboard and Labrador Current of my continent, on grounds that they were "too political." So, I am forbidden by a Shell executive to do my work, and I'm forbidden by a Shell front (he's busy in Beijing and Istanbul, and made a point of sitting next to me at an after-opening museum opening) to do or show my work. Plainly put, I must go where Shell is not present. Two big examples: Kazakstan and, for retail, Russia. I've been in many countries and have run into problems with, or obvious collusions with the State by, Shell. And this always raises a question. These countries include, for me China, New Zealand, Australia, Norway, the UK. Since "you will not be allowed" sounds like a threat, to which I've become accustomed, fine, work where Shell is not.

Here's a chart.

First, about Kazakstan, which the Cambridge graduate in history portrays as full of idiots, organize the territory into its saltwater catchments:

Lake Balkash, Aral Sea, Caspian Sea. Continue the work I am showing now in the Migros Museum, without ANY interference possible from Shell, or any graduates from Cambridge working for "Her Majesty's Government". This addresses the most urgent physical survival needs of Kazakstan. Next, work in the saltwater basin that includes the capital of Kazakstan. This is a part of the Arctic Ocean. Work to do what "is not allowed" by Shell in a race with Shell. And then continue that work in the Barents Sea Basin, cooperating with Russian shipyards to build the next era of ships for hydrocarbon industry. This would be a partnership of US and Russian know-how: bye-bye "special relationship."

Maybe the French will join us in this race against the British Empire.

Why? Because they ban drilling for oil in the Arctic. They would endorse rather, in the Arctic, what Shell says "will not be allowed." In 2014, as the New York Times showed in a lead photograph (all under the watch of a British CEO who cannot vote in the United States, but has a final say on what US citizens see and read), there was a dinner about D-Day organized it seems from the photo (see the man at the right rear; is he the Shell CEO?). In the photo, shown, are featured the heads of state for Alaska (Obama), Canada (Queen of England), Greenland (Queen of Denmark) and Russia (Putin). Why would the Queen of Denmark be at a dinner celebrating D-Day? Answer: notice that the man in the middle, holding a microphone, is not identified. Stalinist style, he's effectively eliminated from the news report. He's not identified

because if a NY Times reader were to Google him, they'd find out that he, the President of France, is opposed to drilling for oil in the Arctic. And everyone else at the table, especially the man behind everyone, on the right, apparently the CEO of Shell, is FOR drilling for oil in the Arctic. Bye-bye planet. Yes, a few months later, then-President Obama caved in to pressure, most loudly from Shell, to allow for the drilling for oil in the Arctic Ocean. Bye-bye his ideas about renewable energy. If the Cambridge graduate in history were to study French hydrocarbon-energy research, he'd find out that in 1970, fifty years ago, an attempt was made to start producing zero-emissions hydrocarbons, or hydrogen, from seaweed. This is the exact same activity which a Shell executive told I "will not be allowed" to do. The French scientists in 1970 met the same blockage. They were very fiercely prohibited from continuing their project, there in French waters, by a.. scientist from Britain. The British seem to love intervening in the internal affairs of other countries. But this will be more difficult in Kazakstan and Russia, especially after what I say about Borat goes public

The man who portrays Borat can know, too, the the person telling me that I "will not be allowed" to do the work I intend, and sought a \$250,000 budget for, all identical in nature to the work started by French scientists in 1970, was high-up in an extraction project in my continent called "tar sands." What an honorable profession!

The history to be made now, in spite of what Borat has said or done, will put Royal Dutch Shell out of business.

Maybe that's good.

Peter Fend
US citizen